FORM 13: SUBMISSION ON AN APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT UNDER SECTION 96 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

I/we **oppose** the application

I/we neither support nor oppose the application

Office Use Only



			DISTRICT	REGIONAL COUNCIL	
DART A: DESCRIPTION	DE ARRIJOATIO	N.		·	
PART A: DESCRIPTION (JF APPLICATIO	/N			
CONSENT NUMBER:	APPLICANT:	APPLICANT:			
WCRC: RC-2023-0046	TIGA MINERALS AND METALS LTD				
GDC: LUN3154/23					
ESCRIPTION OF PROPOS		1 5 6			
Establish and operate a min	eral sands mine, ii	ncluding construction	on of associated infrastru	cture.	
OCATION:					
Barrytown Flats, west of Sta	te Highway 6 (Coa	ast Road), 9km sou	th of Punakaiki township	and 36km north of Greymouth	
PART B: SUBMITTER DE	TAILS				
	TAILO				
Full name/s	Andrea Ma	arie Thompson			
Postal address I am the owner/occupier					
(delete one) of the followin property:	g				
Primary contact person/s					
Email address					
Phone number/s	Home:		Business:		
	Mobile:		Fax:		
Signature of the submit	ter (or person a	uthorised to sig	n on behalf of the	Date:	
submitter):					
(submitted electronically)				09/10/2023	
Name (BLOCK CAPITAL	S):			-	
Andrea Thompson					
'					
f this is a joint submission b	by 2 or more indivi	iduals, each individ	lual's signature is require	ed.	
signature is not required if					
				(tick one)	
/we support the applicati	ıon numbers indi	cated by a tick or	n the back of this form		

(tick one)

I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our submission.
I/we DO NOT wish to be heard and hereby make my/our submission in writing only.
If you wish to be heard, and others make a similar submission would you consider making a joint case with them at an hearing Yes No
If you indicated you wish to be heard, you will be sent a copy of the S.42A Officer's Report and a copy of the Decision oncit is released. Please indicate below which format you would like to receive these documents in:
Electronic (CD) copy I/we have served a copy of my/our submission on the Applicant as per Section 96(6)(b) of the RMA Yes
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: (give details)
See attachment
My/our submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the application or specific parts of it; whether you are neutral regarding the application or specific parts of it; and the reasons for your views).
See attachment
I/we seek the following decision from the Local Authority:(give precise details)
Rejection of the application.
I am /am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. *Select one.
*Lamfum next directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
*Delete this paragraph if you are not a trade competitor. †Select one.
I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the

Important information – Please read carefully.

local authority. *select one.

Public information

The information you provide is public information. It is used to help process a resource consent application and assess the impact of an activity on the environment and other people.

Your information is held and administered by the West Coast Regional Council and Grey District Council in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information you consider should not be disclosed.

West Coast Regional Council

388 Main South Road, Paroa, Greymouth 7805 PO Box 66, Greymouth 7840 Telephone (03) 768 0466 Toll Free 0508 800 118 Facsimile (03) 768 7133 Email info@wcrc.govt.nz
Website www.wcrc.govt.nz

Grey District Council

105 Tainui Street PO Box 382 Greymouth, 7840 planning@greydc.govt.nz 03 769 8600

Note to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as is reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
 give expert advice on the matter.

Attachment to:

FORM 13: SUBMISSION ON AN APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT UNDER SECTION 96
OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

WCRC: RC-2023-0046 GDC: LUN3154/23

I oppose this application in the strongest terms due to the environmental impact it will have on the Petrel Colony, and the lagoons next to the beach which support a wide range of wildlife including the Spoonbill and the White Heron. This industrialisation will bring very little economic benefit to the area and the skilled workers will be brought in from outside the region leaving just poorly paying/labouring jobs for locals. There is a historic track-record with this kind of development where the applicants promise the World, but actually deliver very little except environmental vandalism - as evidenced at the Westland Mineral Sands development at Cape Foulwind.

TiGa seem to have lots of plans, but plans are not actions and so the propaganda they are currently pumping out may fool the more gullible, but not those who can appreciate the lack of governance GDC/WCRC will exercise over TiGa who will ride rough-shod over the wishes of local residents.

My most serious concern above all will be the excessive heavy vehicle traffic on a fragile coastal road which is quite literally our lifeline for services North & South. The road is not designed for such traffic and will require extensive, and rolling repairs which will directly impact those residents who commute to Greymouth for work. State Highway 6 is advertised as one of the great tourism drives of New Zealand. With the 'variable' driving skill of our foreign visitors, how long do you think it will be before we start killing tourists?

I believe that should this application be granted, the following will most definitely happen:

- 1) The promised jobs, economic benefits and mitigation 'plans' will not materialise.
- 2) There will be contamination of local groundwaters & creeks.
- 3) The petrel colony will suffer & probably not recover.
- 4) The State Highway will be in a permanent state of repair due to heavy vehicle damage.
- 5) The Road Toll will increase significantly.

It would be an incredibly short-sighted decision by the Council to grant this application long-term pain, for questionable short-term gain and it's just not worth it.

Andrea Marie Thompson