Office Use Only

SUBMISSION ON AN APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT UNDER SECTION 96 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

PART A: DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION



CONSENT NUMBER:	APPLICANT:				
WCRC: RC-2023-0046 GDC: LUN3154/23	TiGa Mineral	s and Metals	Ltd		
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED	O ACTIVITY:				
a mineral sands mine in an	area of appro	ximately 63ha	over a	12-year perio	d
LOCATION:					
Map reference NTZM: 1460770E, 50 Legal description: Lot 1 DP 412689		2847			
PART B: SUBMITTER DETA	AILS				
Full name/s	Barry Ralph N	Mason			
Postal address					
I am the owner/occupier (delete one) of the following property:	Owner				
Primary contact person/s	As Above				
Email address					
Phone number/s	Home:			Business:	
	Mobile:			Fax:	
Signature:					Date:
Olgitature.					Date.
					11-10-23
Name (BLOCK CAPITALS):					
BARRY RALPH MASON					
If this is a joint submission by A signature is not required if you	ou make your sub	omission by elec	tronic me	ans.	(tick one)
I/we support the application numbers indicated by a tick on the back of this form I/we oppose the application					
I/we oppose the application I/we neither support nor oppose the application					
					(tick one)

I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our submission.					
I/we DO NOT wish to be heard and hereby make my/our submission in writing only.					
If you wish to be heard, and others make a similar submission would you consider making a joint case with them at any hearing Yes No					
If you indicated you wish to be heard, you will be sent a copy of the S.42A Officer's Report and a copy of the Decision once it is released. Please indicate below which format you would like to receive these documents in: Electronic (CD) copy Hard (paper) copy					
I/we have served a copy of my/our submission on the Applicant as per Section 96(6)(b) of the RMA Yes					
My/our submission is that: (state in summary the nature of your submission. Clearly indicate whether you support or oppose the specific proposal, or wish to have amendments made, giving reasons)					
I oppose the application. See attached					
I/we seek the following decision from the Local Authority:(give precise details)					
I seek the Local Authority to decline this application					

Important information – please read carefully

Public information

The information you provide is public information. It is used to help process a resource consent application and assess the impact of an activity on the environment and other people.

Your information is held and administered by the West Coast Regional Council in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information you consider should not be disclosed.



388 Main South Road, Paroa, Greymouth 7805 PO Box 66, Greymouth 7840 Telephone (03) 768 0466 Toll Free 0508 800 118 Facsimile (03) 768 7133 Email info@wcrc.govt.nz

Website www.wcrc.govt.nz

I am opposed to the proposed mine.

I live on State Highway 6 Barrytown, considered one of the 10 most beautiful coastal highways <u>in the world</u>, located in an area of rural lifestyle blocks, a highway which has long quiet spells during the day and evening and quiet through the night.

A procession of trucks, as proposed, is akin to a military procession, an abrupt shock to an otherwise peaceful environment. Not withstanding the damage to a vulnerable road (subject to slips), noise and pollution.

Not only will there be increased pollution/emissions from the proposed trucking, but from the processing plant, pumps and excavators – especially since the proposed depth at 15 metres is below the water table, and pumps and machinery will run 24/7.

The RMA is specific in making councils responsible for a lesser carbon footprint—the proposal flies in the face of such a requirement and is against the reality of global warming.

It is an abrupt shock to find that across the road in a beautiful lifestyle block region that an industrial complex is your neighbour! The reader would as a fellow human being, also be affronted with the same proposal...

Furthermore, in the same vicinity of the proposed mine site, is the breeding ground for the Westland Petrel, the only colony in the world. The mine facility at night will require lights, will severely affect the breeding colony, birds will die.

This area attracts many tourists from all over the world and the trucking density will significantly affect the driving on the road, for locals, pedestrians, cyclists, vehicles taking children to school etc.

The Regional Council promotes this area as an Untamed Natural Wilderness consistent with the attraction of tourists to the area – this is a conflict of interest to say the least.

Lastly, I find the process of submission by lay people, who have a life to live day by day, totally one sided since there are minimal resources, financial or technical, available to submission writers; whereas TiGA and councils have all that on their side.

Surely in order to expect the sanctity of this beautiful lifestyle area, it is ludicrous in the extreme to expect lay people to uphold the environment in the face of industrial mining, contrary to the RMA as it is, which promotes policies to protect the environment.

Therefore I object to and oppose the mine proposal in its entirety.