

**FORM 13: SUBMISSION
ON AN APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT
UNDER SECTION 96
OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

Office
Use Only



PART A: DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION

CONSENT NUMBER:

APPLICANT:

WCRC: RC-2023-0046
GDC: LUN3154/23

TIGA MINERALS AND METALS LTD

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITY:

Establish and operate a mineral sands mine, including construction of associated infrastructure.

LOCATION:

Barrytown Flats, west of State Highway 6 (Coast Road), 9km south of Punakaiki township and 36km north of Greymouth.

PART B: SUBMITTER DETAILS

Full name/s	Kathryn Dianne Cannan		
Postal address	[REDACTED]		
I am the owner/occupier (delete one) of the following property:			
Primary contact person/s	Kathryn Dianne Cannan		
Email address	[REDACTED]		
Phone number/s	Home:	[REDACTED]	Business:
	Mobile:	[REDACTED]	Fax:

Signature of the submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of the submitter):	Date:
Kathryn D Cannan	[REDACTED]
Name (BLOCK CAPITALS):	
KATHRYN DIANNE CANNAN	

*If this is a joint submission by 2 or more individuals, each individual's signature is required.
A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.*

I/we **support** the application numbers indicated by a tick on the back of this form
I/we **oppose** the application
I/we **neither support nor oppose** the application

RECEIVED

09 OCT 2023

THE WEST COAST
REGIONAL COUNCIL

(tick one)

(tick one)

I/we **wish to be heard** in support of my/our submission.

I/we **DO NOT wish to be heard** and hereby make my/our submission in writing only.

If you wish to be heard, and others make a similar submission would you consider making a joint case with them at any hearing

 Yes No

If you indicated you wish to be heard, you will be sent a copy of the S.42A Officer's Report and a copy of the Decision once it is released. Please indicate below which format you would like to receive these documents in:

 Electronic (CD) copy Hard (paper) copy

I/we **have** served a copy of my/our submission on the Applicant as per Section 96(6)(b) of the RMA

 Yes

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: *(give details)*

See Attached

My/~~our~~ submission is that: *(include whether you support or oppose the application or specific parts of it; whether you are neutral regarding the application or specific parts of it; and the reasons for your views).*

I oppose the Application (see attached)

I/we seek the following decision from the Local Authority:(give precise details)

that the Application be declined in its entirety.

I ~~am~~/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

*Select one.

*I am/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

*Delete this paragraph if you are not a trade competitor.

†Select one.

I request/~~do not request~~*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

*select one.

Important information – Please read carefully.

I oppose TiGa Minerals and Metals Ltd application to establish and operate a mineral sands mine, including construction of associated infrastructure (WCRC: RC-2023-0046 and GDC: LUN3154/23) at the Barrytown Flats and **request that the application be denied in its entirety.**

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

--**the proposed scale of industrial mining.** The location of the proposed mine is a populated rural area and would have significant and widely distributed adverse effects on people, the community and the environment.

--**economic benefits of the proposed mine.** These are speculative and could compromise community wellbeing and environmental values.

--**the potential negative effects on community and individual wellbeing.** State Highway 6 is likely to become more dangerous to the driving public and disruptive to local residents with the amount of trucking being proposed (from early hours, all day and after dark, 7 days per week, without respite on weekends and public holidays).

--**additional negative trucking impacts.** These are more than minor. There is the risk of damage and increased repair costs to the already fragile State Highway 6, and increased risk for navigating residential driveways (entrances and exits), school bus runs, cyclists, pedestrian and motorists (locals as well as tourists).

--**negative effects on the current substantial amenity values.** These values draw many visitors and residents to the area. The proposed mine would degrade the natural character of the coastal environment, the social fabric of the community and recreational values.

--**the potentially lethal effects on the existing Westland petrel/Taiko population.** The outstanding characteristics of these birds and their threatened existence have been documented elsewhere. The effects of the proposed trucking movements could lead to the extinction of this population.

--**the heavy use of fossil fuels.** These are an intrinsic aspect of the proposal (mining and trucking). The need for NZ to cut carbon emissions is documented elsewhere and everywhere.

--**the disconnect between the mine (inappropriate location and industrial scale) with the West Coast's brand (Untamed Natural Wilderness).** Having such an operation on the main road that services the entire West Coast will do nothing for those wanting to experience our Untamed Natural Wilderness and those businesses which cater to them (eg nature tourism operators and accommodation providers).

--**the potential adverse effects of radiation.** This is particularly worrying, given that NZ lacks a code of practice for managing radiation safety in the mining industry.

--**NZ's future direction.** It is set out by the Resource Management Act and many objectives and policies designed to protect the environment. This proposal runs counter to these and cannot be supported.

In conclusion, everything within the notion that, somehow, establishing an industrial-scale mine at the proposed location (which is a settled, scenic, farmed area and tourist attraction) is a good idea must be thwarted.

I seek the following decision from the Local Authority: That the application be declined in its entirety.
